

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ANTONIO LEE

)
)
)
)
)

No. 3:09-cr-00240-15

Judge Nixon

ORDER


Pending before the Court is Defendant Antonio Lee's Motion to Join Previously Filed Motions ("Motion to Join"). (Doc. No. 1560.) Specifically, Mr. Lee requests to join Defendant Paul McQuiddy's following motions filed on February 18, 2011: Motion for Additional Peremptory Challenges and the Right to Exercise Those Challenges Independently After Consultation and Memorandum in Support (Doc. Nos. 415-16); Motion for Daily Trial Transcript and Memorandum in Support (Doc. Nos. 423-24); Motion for Equal Access to Exhibits and Memorandum in Support (Doc. Nos. 425-26). (Doc. No. 1560.) Mr. Lee also requests to join Defendant James Campbell's following motions filed on July 3, 2012: Motion in Limine #1 (Doc. No. 1177); Motion in Limine #2 (Doc. No. 1178); Motion in Limine #3 (Doc. No. 1179); Motion in Limine #4 (Doc. No. 1180); Motion in Limine #6 (Doc. No. 1182); Motion in Limine #7 (Doc. No. 1183). (Doc. No. 1560.) Finally, Mr. Lee requests to join Defendant Monterius Pollard's Motion for Jury-Out *Enright* Hearing on Admissibility of Alleged Coconspirator Statements and Memorandum in Support (Doc. Nos. 656-57), filed on September 22, 2011; Defendant Thomas Branum's Motion for Early Disclosure of *Jencks* Act Material and Disclosure of Government's Witness List and List of Exhibits (Doc. No. 969) and Motion for Pretrial Designation of All Phone Calls that the Government Intends to be Used in Their Case-In-Chief (Doc. No. 970), filed on March 20, 2012; and Defendant Tierra Young's two motions to

join (Doc. Nos. 1558-59), filed on December 21, 2012. (Doc. No. 1560.) All motions named in Mr. Lee's Motion to Join are currently pending before the Court.

The arguments made by Mr. McQuiddy, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Pollard and Mr. Branum in their motions apply equally to Mr. Lee. (See Doc. Nos. 415-16; 423-26; 656-57; 969-70; 1128; 1177-80; 1182-83). With respect to motions filed by Ms. Young, because these motions (Doc. Nos. 1158-59) request to join other substantive motions filed by Defendants Christopher Moody and Patrick Scott (Doc. Nos. 1546; 1548; 1554), the Court finds Mr. Lee should be joined to the underlying substantive motions, rather than Ms. Young's motions to join. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** the Motion to Join with respect to the above-named motions filed by Mr. McQuiddy, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Pollard and Mr. Branum, (Doc. Nos. 415-16; 423-26; 656-57; 969-70; 1128; 1177-80; 1182-83) as well as motions filed by Mr. Moody and Mr. Scott (Doc. Nos. 1546; 1548; 1554).

It is so ORDERED.

Entered this the 2nd day of January, 2013.



JOHN T. NIXON, SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT